Faculty Senate Minutes #258

John Jay College of Criminal Justice

March 18, 2004 3:15 PM Room 630 T

Present (26): Desmond Arias, Marvie Brooks, Orlanda Brugnola, Effie Cochran, Edward Davenport, Peter DeForest, Kirk Dombrowski, Janice Dunham, Michele Galietta, Konstantinos Georgatos, P. J. Gibson, Betsy Gitter, Amy Green, Norman Groner, Judith Hawkins, Karen Kaplowitz, Tom Litwack, John Matteson, Lorraine Moller, Ellen Sexton, Francis Sheehan, Sung Ha Suh, Thalia Vrachopolous, Alisse Waterston, Robin Whitney, Patty Zapf

Absent (12): Luis Barrios, Joshua Freilich, Heath Grant, Ann Huse, Max Kadir, Kwando Kinshasa, Gavin Lewis, Evan Mandery, Joseph Napoli, Liliana Soto-Fernandez, Ayeley Sowah, Davidson Umeh

Guests: Professors Ned Benton, Harold Sullivan

- 1. Announcements from the chair
- 2. Approval of Minutes #257 of the March 3,2004, meeting
- 3. Proposed revisions in the Faculty Senate meeting schedule
- **4.** Proposal that the Senate write to Chancellor Goldstein acknowledging his position regarding faculty members on the Phase II Steering Committee: Executive Committee
- **5.** Proposed Revised Joint Policy of the Council of Chairs and the Faculty Senate on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy: Professor Harold Sullivan and Senator Francis Sheehan
- 6. Update on the Phase Il project: Professor Ned Benton, Senators K. Kaplowitz and F. Sheehan
- 7. Proposal regarding the Science lab fume hoods: Senators Sheehan and Kaplowitz
- 8. Proposed Resolution on the Hare System of voting: Senator Evan Mandery

1. <u>Announcements from the chair</u> [AttachmentA]

The finalists for President of John Jay and the date each will be on campus to meet with groups of faculty, students, staff, and administratorshave been announced: Jeremy Travis: March 22; Daniel Maier-Katkin: March 24; Frank J. Thompson: March 29; Ronald Goldstock: April 1.

A report about issues relevant to John Jay that were discussed at the March meeting of the Board of Trustees Committee on Facilities was provided [AttachmentA].

2. Approval of Minutes #257 of the March 3.2004. meeting

By a motion made and carried, Minutes #257 of the March 3 meeting were approved.

3. Proposed revisions in the Faculty Senate meeting schedule

The Senate voted to cancel its March **3** 1 meeting because one of the finalists for the presidency of John Jay will be at the College from morning to evening that day and many members of the Senate are on committees that are interviewing the finalists. Because that was the date that the Senate was to meet with Chancellor Matthew Goldstein, a new date for the Senate's meeting with the Chancellor was set for Tuesday, May 11, from 3-5 pm, in Room 630T.

4. <u>Proposal that the Senate write to Chancellor Goldstein to formally acknowledge his</u> <u>position regarding faculty members on the Phase II Steering Committee</u>: Executive Committee

A motion was made that the Senate convey in writing to Chancellor Matthew Goldstein a formal acknowledgment of his position that the two faculty members on the Phase II Steering Committee must be informed about all Phase II meetings, be invited to all Phase II meetings, receive the Minutes of all Phase IImeetings, and be treated in the same way as all the other members of the Phase II Steering Committee. The motion was adopted by unanimous vote.

5. <u>Proposed Revised Joint Policy of the Council of Chairs and the Faculty Senate on</u> <u>Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy:</u> Professor Harold Sullivan and Senator Francis Sheehan [AttachmentB-1 & B-2]

Professor Harold Sullivan reported that the proposed policy jointly developed by the Faculty Senate and the Council of Chairs on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy had been approved by the Council of Chairs the previous day.

Senator Francis Sheehan reported that Harold Sullivan, Karen Kaplowitz, and he had met with Dean James Levine, in Dean Levine's capacity as chair of the Taskforce on Privacy, two days ago at which time Dean Levine had said he considers the Taskforce's proposed privacy policy to have been withdrawn by the Taskforce and, therefore, off the table, given the virtually universally negative response by faculty to it and given the important issues raised by Faculty Senate members and by other faculty that the Taskforce's proposed policy did not address. Dean Levine had also told them that although he could not speak for the other members of the Taskforce, he believes that the Chairs/Senate proposed policy [Attachment B-1/B-2] is a policy which could be supported by the Taskforce members and by the College administration if certain changes were made

Senator Sheehan reviewed each provision of the policy and explained the changes that have been made in the Chairs/Senate proposed policy during the two weeks since the Senate and the Chairs each had their previous meetings. He added that the amended version on today's Senate agenda is the one that Dean Levine had spoken positively about. Senator Sheehan noted that the

Faculty Senate Minutes #258 – March 18,2004 – p. 3

foundational concept of this version of the policy is that when invasions of privacy or violations of academic freedom take place, the entire College community must be informed of the fact.

Professor Harold Sullivan said that since the last meetings of the Senate and of the Chairs two weeks ago, the proposed privacy policy has been amended through hundreds and hundreds of emails between Francis Sheehan, Karen Kaplowitz, and him, and that it had been amended by the hour **and** often by the minute. He said the deadline the three were working with is the April **8** deadline for College Council agenda items so the proposed policy can be on the agenda of the April 19 College Council meeting; he explained that to wait for the May College Council meeting would be to risk the item being tabled. President Kaplowitz added that by having the policy on the April meeting of the College Council, there would be the possibility of further consideration of the policy at the May meeting of the College Council were the issue to be tabled at the April meeting.

Senator Tom Litwack, saying that the drafters of this policy have done a fabulousjob, suggested a revision to change language proscribing the consideration of the content of professors' views by those who make reappointment, tenure and promotion decisions. He said if someone teaches nonsense in the classroom or demonstrates very poor analytical ability in submitted written materials those would be legitimate considerations when personnel decisions are being made. He proposed language for a revision and his proposed amendment was adopted. SenatorDesmond Arias said such concerns can be addressed by referencing the American Association of University Professors [AAUP] Policy on Academic Freedom. Senator Litwack agreed.

A discussion about blocking and monitoring led to a discussion about a range of issues: liability; viruses and worms; protection of the College's computer system. Language was amended in response to issues raised and suggestions made. A question was raised as to why the prefatory statement names IRB [Institutional Review Board] and FERPA [Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act] but does not include HIPPA [Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act]. President Kaplowitz said that the decision to not name HIPPA was made so as not to draw attention to the fact that psychiatric records are in John Jay office files and on John Jay computers but HIPPA is, in actuality, included because of the language used in this section of the policy. Senators Michele Galietta, Patty Zapf, and Sung Ha Suh agreed that it is advisable to not name HIPPA as long as the privacy of these records is protected through the proposed policy, as is the case.

Senator Janice Dunham asked for an explanation of the section that says the policy shall not be violated except by court order. Professor Sullivan explained that if the proposed Privacy Committee were to refuse an administration request to the Committee to permit a search to be conducted, the administration would then have to obtain a court order to conduct the search. Senator Sheehan said the court would be the neutral party making this decision if such a situation were to arise. Professor Sullivan recalled that his original position was that the administration could obtain access only by a court order, but he has come to realize that such a policy would never pass the muster of the CUNY Central Administration. He added that the reality is that employees, absent a privacy policy, have no privacy rights and the goal of this proposed policy is to ensure that we have the maximum rights and the maximum protection.

Senator Sheehan requested a strong message of support from the Senate that the membership of the proposed Privacy Committee is non-negotiable. He explained that Dean Levine's major complaint about the Chairs/Senate proposed policy is that it does not provide for what Dean Levine considers to be a sufficient number of administrators on the Privacy Committee. Senator Sheehan noted that administrators can invade faculty privacy but faculty can not violate administrators' privacy and so a committee whose membership has a majority of faculty members is necessary.

Faculty Senate Minutes #258 - March 18,2004 - p. 4

Senator Sheehan pointed out that the proposed Privacy Committee membership specifies tenured faculty so that the faculty members are protected from pressure and, furthermore, this will ensure that untenured faculty are protected **from** possible coercion to serve on such a committee. Professor Sullivan said that he and Francis Sheehan and Karen Kaplowitz were sensitive to the wishes of untenured faculty to be included in faculty governance and originally did not specify that tenured status be a requirement but they recognized that only tenured faculty could, in fact, serve on such a committee. He added he then consulted with Senator Desmond Arias, the Chair of the Senate's Committee on the Concerns of the Untenured Faculty, who polled his committee members all of whom supported the proposed requirement of tenure for faculty members of this committee.

A motion to adopt the proposed Policy on Academic Freedom and Workplace Policy as further amended at today's meeting and to transmit the document to the College Council for action by that body at its April 19 meeting was made, seconded, and adopted by unanimous vote [Attachment B-1: the amended document with additions and deletions shown & **B-2**: the amended document with the additions and deletions incorporated into the text].

6. <u>Update on the Phase II project:</u> Professor Benton and Senators Kaplowitz and Sheehan

President Kaplowitz reported that at the March 17 Phase II Steering Committee, Professor Ned Benton and she had conveyed the Faculty Senate's informal request that the inaccurate Skidmore, Owings and Merrill (SOM) minutes be revised. In response to this request, a revision was emailed to the Steering Committee that same evening but the revision is unacceptable to the two faculty members: although the SOM minutes no longer state that Ned Benton and Karen Kaplowitz approved the decision to move the Science labs from the top floor to multiple floors beneath all the other academic departments, the minutes now state that all the members of the Phase II Steering Committee approved the placement of the Science labs on the lower floors; this factually incorrect revision was emailed despite the fact that on March 17 the Steering Committee had agreed that the minutes would be revised to state that it was CUNY that agreed to the placement of the Science labs on the lower floors. And so the two of them will again request a correction of the minutes and they said that if the request does not result in an acceptable correction, they will ask the Senate to formally request the necessary correction.

The Senate's request for a presentation about the revised design for Phase II and the reasons for the change has been agreed to: a presentation is scheduled for **5** PM today in the Theater, as has been announced by email and by phonemail.

President Kaplowitz then explained that as the faculty member on the CUNY Board of Trustees Committee on Facilities she represents all CUNY faculty and it is her responsibility to report concerns and questions reported to her by the faculty governance body of each college that has **an** item on the Facilities Committee agenda. At this time, the plan is for the Facilities Committee to vote on the Phase II design at its May 3 meeting. Thus, she said, prior to May 3, the John Jay Senate must let her know if it wishes her to comment on any relevant issues when the Phase 11 design comes before the Committee for a vote. If the Phase II project is not on the May 3 Facilities Committee agenda, it will be on the agenda of the June 7 meeting of the Facilities Committee.

Senator Litwack asked how many offices for full-time faculty are being planned for Phase II. Professor Benton said he and Karen have repeatedly asked for that information but they have not yet been given that information. President Kaplowitz added that she and Ned do know that the number of faculty offices will be insufficient; they just do not know the extent to which there will be an insufficient number.

Professor Benton noted that after spending \$431 million for Phase **II**, John Jay will have virtually the same number of classrooms we currently have: the difference is that the new classrooms will be larger because of the large size of the tables which are replacing the furniture we currently use and because of code requirements. He said this raises the specter that the administration will want to increase class size, in that the larger classrooms would permit them to do so were they to replace the tables with the traditional chairs with the folding writing surface that we currently have.

Senator Litwack asked what aspect of the Phase II plan, in addition to the number of classrooms, the number of faculty offices, and the placement of the Science labs on the lower floors is seriously problematic. Professor Benton said he thinks the current Phase II design is better than the previous Phase II design, the one shown in the Theater last May, and that he sees no other problems comparable to the scale of the problems Senator Litwack listed.

7. <u>Proposal regarding the Science lab fume hoods:</u> Senators Sheehan and Kaplowitz [AttachmentA]

The Senate was directed to a report of a discussion at the March 1 meeting of the Facilities Committee of the Board of Trustees about John Jay's Science lab fume hoods. Senator Sheehan said the fume hoods need to be tested by CUNY and those that do not, in fact, work need to be replaced by CUNY. A motion by Senator Sheehan that the Senate request that CUNY test the fume hoods and replace those that are not working was adopted by unanimous vote.

8. <u>Proposed Resolution to replace the plurality voting method with the Hare System of proportional representation</u>: Senator Evan Mandery.

A motion was to table this item indefinitely was made and carried by unanimous vote.

By a motion made and carried, the meeting was adjourned at **5** pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Davenport Recording Secretary &

> Desmond Arias Faculty Senator &

Amy Green Executive Committee Member-at-Large

ATTACHMENTA

Board of Trustees Committee on Facilities, Planning, and Management – March 1,2004

Committee Members present: Trustees Jeffrey Wiesenfeld (Vice Chair), John Bonnici, Wellington Chen, Nilda Soto Ruiz, Faculty Member Karen Kaplowitz, Student Member Dionne McLaughlin.

Also Present: Trustees Agnes Abraham, Susan O'Malley.

Also: Chancellor Matthew Goldstein, Senior Vice Chancellor Allan Dobrin, Vice Chancellor Emma Macari, Vice Chancellor Frederick P. Schaffer, Vice Chancellor Russ Hotzler, Vice Chancellor & Board Secretary Jay Hershenson. Also President G. Williams.

<snip>

III. Information Items: Exploration of Student and Faculty Housing at the College of Staten Island.

Vice Chancellor Macari reported that the possibility of student and facultyhousing is being explored for the College of Staten Island. At both CCNY and at Lehman College, a study is already being conducted to assess the demand for such housing. The Graduate Center is also exploring this issue with a developer who has land. The difference is that at CCNY, Lehman, and CSI land is already available. She said perhaps students at John Jay, Hunter, and the Graduate Center could use housing built on campuses that do have land. VC Macari said the greatest demand for student housing is from John Jay and the second highest is from Baruch. Chancellor Goldstein spoke of two considerations: the cost and the need to guarantee student demand.

The Faculty Member, Karen Kaplowitz, said in light of the fact that the State Legislature did not pass a Capital Budget for CUNY last year and has yet to do so this year she would like to ask a question: may a college that is facing immediate and exigent health and safety problems advance the money needed to deal with emergency capital projects from its tax levy operating budget (or from its non-tax levy budget) and then be reimbursed when a capital budget is ftnally approved and allocated. Vice Chancellor Macari said that is absolutely permitted and it is, in fact, standard operating procedure, and it is regularly done by colleges, which keep records and receipts which are then submitted to **DASNY**.

SeniorVice Chancellor Dobrin said this is true only if the item is a small item. Professor Kaplowitz asked for the definition of small. When asked, in turn, what she had in mind, Professor Kaplowitz said an expenditure between \$20,000 and \$200,000. Senior VC Dobrin said that is, indeed, small. Chancellor Goldstein asked what Professor Kaplowitz had in **mind**. She said it has been a longstandingproblem, one of many years, that at John Jay the science laboratory fume hoods do not work; each fume hood costs \$20,000 and even though there are **23** fume hoods, it would be possible to run the science labs by replacing 10 of the hoods, according to [consultants].

She explained that last semester, a student twice went into anaphylactic shock from chemical fumes that are not being contained by the **fume** hoods and this student was taken each time to the emergency room and that the faculty at John Jay do not want anyone to die because of non-functioning fume hoods. The Chancellor said that he and the University do not want anyone's health or safetyjeopardized and asked VC Macari about the situation. VC Macari said the project to replace the fume hoods at John Jay is a \$3 million project because fume hoods cannot simply be replaced; rather, the duct work has to be redone and a modified project costing \$1 million is being contemplated for John Jay, whereby some of the fume hoods and some of the duct work would be replaced.

Submitted by

Karen Kaplowitz, Faculty Member, BoT Committee on Facilities, Planning, and Management

ATTACHMENT B-1

03/15/2004 Proposed Policy - As Amended

Jointly Proposed Policy of the Council of Chairs and Faculty Senate on

ACADEMIC FREEDOM AND WORKPLACE PRIVACY for approval Unanimously Approved by both Bodies — for Transmittal to the College **Council for** its **Action** [Original Proponents: Professor Harold Sullivan and Senator Francis Sheehan] Freedom of inquiry is essential to an academic institution. Unimpeded pursuit of knowledge and the ability to research and express unorthodox ideas is an essential part of academic freedom. For these reasons and others cited below the traditional relationship between employee and employer cannot exist in an academic institution. Faculty must be free to express ideas and pursue research without threat of sanction. While employers generally have the legal right to access employer-employer-provided forms of communications, offices and computers, in an academic setting faculty offices, phone mail, email, files and computers can contain confidential materials, the confidentiality of which are protected by law, such as, but not limited to, raw data concerning human subjects of research, preliminary research findings not yet ready for outside review, as well as disciplinary hearing records and student records. Confidentiality of faculty records, files and communication is **an** essential prerequisite of academic freedom. There is both explicit and implicit foundation for . that confidentiality in law and practice. Institutional Research Board (IRB) requirements, for example, are designed to protect the anonymity of human subjects in research. Student records are protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), also known as the Buckley Amendment. Academic freedom has been accorded an extraordinarily high level of protection in First Amendment case law. The purpose of the Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy of John Jay College is to ensure the fullest possible protection for these values which are of paramount importance in an institution of higher learning. SECTION ONE: Academic Freedom It is the policy of John Jay College fully to respect and safeguard academic freedom in accordance with the American Association of University Professors' (AAUP) 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure. Since it is antithetical to academic freedom to block access to Internet websites based on content, this policy prohibits such blocking even though Internet access is not succifically addressed in the 1940 Statement which predates the creation of the Internet. While recognizing that all faculty have a responsibility to teach courses within the scope of the Bulletin course descriptions and consistent with stated course objectives, no member of the College community may be penalized by the College in any way for the political, artistic, religious, or social content of views lawfully expressed in the course of orderly, open debate or discussion on or off campus, or as part of his/her published or unpublished research. All

44 decisions respecting the hiring;, tenure, promotion, reauuointment, and retention of College

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 **25**

26 27

28 29 30

31 **32**

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42

Unanimously Approved by the Council of Chairs on March 17, 2004, and Unanimously Approved by the Faculty Senate on March 18, 2004, for transmittal to the College Council for its action

45 faculty, as well as employment decisions regarding other College personnel, shall be made in
 46 keeping with the letter and spirit of this policy, while also recognizing that the quality of a
 47 faculty member's teaching, service, and publications are legitimate considerations in
 48 employment and promotion decisions.
 49

50 Students are free to take orderly and reasoned exception to the data or views offered in any 51 course of study and to reserve judgment about matters of opinion, without penalty, but are 52 responsible for learning the content of and demonstrating standards of academic performance 53 established for each course in which they are enrolled.

All decisions respecting the hiring, tenure, promotion, reappointment, and retention of College faculty, as well as employment decisions regarding other College personnel, shall be made in keeping with the letter and spirit of this policy.

SECTION TWO: Workplace Privacy

- 62 1.It is the policy of John Jay College that all members of the College community must respect
 63 the personal privacy of all other members of the College community and their
 64 communications between persons on and off campus.
 65
- 2.No party to any communication covered by this policy may give consent on behalf of the other
 party or parties to the communication if any party who took part in the communication
 explicitly requested confidentiality. Pursuant to Section 2 Paragraph 9 of this policy, the
 approval of the Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy shall not be
 needed for a participant in the communication to ignore the confidentiality request if the
 communication violates The City University policy on sexual harassment or on any other
 workplace anti discrimination protection, or is otherwise threatening to personal safety.
- 1. Members of the College community shall have an expectation of privacy pertaining to the contents of physical spaces over which they typically exercise primary or exclusive control, including, but not limited to, desks, file cabinets, cubicles, and personal office space. This expectation shall also extend to portable personal spaces, including, but not limited to, their briefcases, satchels, and pocket books brought onto the campus. No officer of the College may search or cause to be searched any such space in a manner not consistent with this policy without the express consent of the individual(s) whose space is to be searched.

81 87

73

54 55

56

61

8∋ the interception, reading, copying, taking or listening to, any material contained in or on
papers, faxes, files, computers, portable or fixed electronic data (including diskettes, hard
drives, PDAs, and other data storage devices) or audio or video recordings owned by or
assigned for the use of individual members of the College community without the consent of
the individual who owns or has been assigned such papers, files, media or equipment.

Unanimously Approved by the Council of Chairs on March 17, 2004, and Unanimously Approved by the Faculty Senate on March 18, 2004, for transmittal to the College Council for its action

- Members of the College community shall have an expectation of privacy pertaining to the contents of physical spaces over which they typically exercise primary or exclusive control, including, but not limited to, desks, file cabinets, cubicles, and personal office space. This expectation shall also extend to portable personal spaces, including, but not limited to, their briefcases, satchels, and pocket books brought onto the campus. No officer of the College may search or cause to be searched any such space in a manner not consistent with this policy without the express consent of the individual(s) whose space is to be searched.
- 97 5.3. The expectations of privacy affirmed by this policy shall extend not only to items related to
 98 interpersonal communication, but also to physical items within the lawful possession of
 99 College community members, including, but not limited to, books, personal notes, personal
 100 electronic devices, laptop computers, photographs, drawings, and prescription medications.
- 101 102 6.4. Unless approved by the Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy, the 103 privacy protections of this policy shall not be violated except by Court Order. Unless 104 specifically forbidden by law, any member of the College community who participates in 105 providing access pursuant to a Court Order shall inform members of the College community who are the targets of such Court Order as soon as practicable after such court ordered access 106 107 is provided. If access is provided pursuant to the Committee's approval, it shall be the Committee Chair's responsibility to provide such notification as soon as practicable after the 108 109 Committee-authorized access has been provided.
- 111 7.5. The Committee may not authorize an exception to the privacy protections established by this
 policy in a specific case unless an absolute majority makes a specific finding that there are
 reasonable grounds to believe that possibly illegal conduct is taking place that could
 jeopardize the rights or safety of other members of the College community, the community at
 large or that there is conduct that could subject the College to legal liability if the College
 were to fail to act. The finding shall be reported in writing and kept in the file maintained by
 the Committee Chair.
- 118
 119
 6. Nothing in this policy shall interfere with the proctoring of exams and the College's and 120
 University's policies against using prohibited materials during exams.
- 121
 122 7. Nothing in this policy shall prevent searches of persons or personal property in areas where
 123 posted signs indicate such searches may be conducted.
- 8. Except in exigent circumstances, one or more members of the Committee, selected by the
 Committee membership, shall be given the opportunity to be present when there is a breach
 of the privacy protections of this policy to verify the intrusion is the minimum necessary to
 accomplish the stated purpose of the breach.
- 129

124

Amended Proposed Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy UnanimouslyApproved by the Council & Chairs on March 17,2004, and UnanimouslyApproved by the Faculty Senate on March 18,2004,for transmittal to the College Council for its action

- 9. Nothing in this policy is intended to interfere with the responsibility of the College to provide
 for the immediate safety of the College community. Members of the College community
 affected by the implementation of this provision and the Committee Membership shall be
 notified in as timely a manner as is practicable after the immediate threat to safety has
 subsided.
- 135
- 10. If there is no immediate threat to the safety of the College community, but the President or a
 Vice-president feels a breach of the privacy protections of this policy is necessary to protect
 public safety or to protect the College from legal liability and it is not practicable to convene
 the Committee, approval of the Committee membership may be obtained by phone, fax
 and/or email.
- 141
- 142 11. The person requesting a breach of the protections provided by this policy must, as soon as practicable, provide the Committee, in writing, with the alleged justification for the breach.
 144 Following the breach, a written report, prepared by the person who requested the breach or his/ her designated agent, shall be filed with the Committee detailing the extent of the breach and the findings. The Committee may also request others involved in the breach to prepare a report.
 148
- 149 12. Nothing in this policy is intended to nullify or abridge provisions mandated by local, state or
 150 federal law, *CUNY* bylaws or policies, or by any applicable collective bargaining agreement.
 151 Should a member of the College community seek to violate provisions of this policy,
 152 asserting a contradictory superseding provision, the College member shall so notify the
 153 College community of the applicable superseding provision and the planned action, in
 154 advance, via College-wide email and phone mail announcements.
- 155
 156
 157 3.3. Nothing in this policy shall relieve members of the College community of their obligation to 157 provide to legally responsible officers of the College access to student attendance and grade 158 reports, to provide to a Grade Appeals Committee all data requested reasonably to decide a 159 filed appeal, to provide to the Judicial Committee all materials needed for a hearing, and to 160 provide to any other legally established College or City University body materials needed 161 according to College or University policies.
- 162

14. Nothing in this policy shall interfere with the responsibility of DoIT and other designated network administrators to scan for and protect against computer viruses or other threats to the integrity of information systems. Except as provided for by Court Order or Committee approval, DoIT_network administrators may not intentionally seek out the contents or transactional information of communications where not germane to the foregoing purposes, or disclose or otherwise use what has been unintentionally observed.

- 169
- 170 15. Nothing in this policy shall interfere with the maintenance of the physical plant.
- 171 172

Amended Proposed Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy Unanimously Approved by the Council of Chairs on March 17,2004, and Unanimously Approved by the Faculty Senate on March 18, 2004, for transmittal to the College Council for its action

| 173 | SE | CTION THREE: Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy |
|------------|------------|--|
| 174 175 | 1 | Mambarahin |
| 175 | 1. | Membership a. Four tenured faculty, who are not serving in appointed administrative positions, elected |
| | | a. Four tendred facuity, who are not serving in appointed administrative positions, elected as follows: |
| 177 | | |
| 178 179 | | i. Two tenured faculty elected by the Faculty Senate by secret ballot |
| 179 | | ii. Two tenured faculty elected by the Council of Chairs by secret ballot |
| 180 | | b. One HEO elected by the HEOs by secret ballot |
| 181 | | c. One administrator selected by the President |
| 182 183 | r | Term of office |
| 185 | Ζ. | |
| 184 | | Members first elected after the establishment of the Committee shall take office immediately upon election and serve through the next academic year. Thereafter, the term of office shall |
| 185 | | be one academic year. The unexpired term of a member who resigns midyear shall be filled |
| 180 | | by the entity which elected or selected the resigned member. |
| 187 | | by the entity which elected of selected the resigned memoer. |
| 189 | 3 | Chair |
| 190 | 5. | A tenured full-time faculty member elected each academic year by the Committee from |
| 190 | | among the Committee membership. |
| 192 | | anong the commuter memoership. |
| 192 | 4 | Mandate |
| 194 | ± • | a. Recommend to the Council of Chairs, Faculty Senate, College Council, and entire |
| 195 | | College community measures to safeguard the fieedom of inquiry and debate essential for |
| 196 | | academic fieedom. |
| 197 | | |
| 198 | | b. Monitor administrative activities on campus which affect academic freedom and |
| 199 | | workplace privacy. |
| 200 | | |
| 201 | | c.Disseminate over the Intranet and publish in "The Week Of' justification for any approved |
| 202 | | monitoring of Internet sites accessed or campus communications or for any authorization |
| 203 | | for blocking access to Internet sites to which members of the College community might |
| 204 | | seek access. |
| 205 | | |
| 206 | | &Receive written complaints of alleged infringements of academic fieedom or workplace |
| 207 | | privacy and bring the nature of those complaints to the attention of the College |
| 208 | | community, Faculty Senate, Council of Chairs, and/or the College Council for discussion |
| 209 | | and resolution. |
| 210 | | |
| 211 | | e.d.Receive and act in a timely way on signed written requests to deviate from the privacy |
| 212 | | protections of this policy and implement procedures confidentially to act on those |
| 213 | | requests. |
| 214 | | |

Unanimously Approved by the Council & Chairs on March 17, 2004, and Unanimously Approved by the Faculty Senate on March 18,2004, for transmittal to the College Council for $\pm s$ action

- 215 <u>fee.</u> Maintain a file of all documents pertaining to the Committee's work. It shall be the Committee Chair's responsibility to ensure the completeness of the file and to transfer it to the next elected Chair. Any member of the Committee may review the file upon request.
 219
- 220 **5.** Implementation 221

225

226

227 228

229

230 231

232

233 234

235

236 237

238

239 240

241

242

- a. Effective immediately upon approval by the College Council of the establishment of the
 Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy:
 the administration must obtain prior approval by the Committee of the purchase or
 - i. the administration must obtain prior approval by the Committee of the purchase or installation of any software and electronic equipment capable of monitoring campus communications and computer use
 - ii. elections shall be scheduled by the Faculty Senate, the Council of Chairs, and the HEOs to elect the Committee's membership in a timely manner
 - iii. the President shall select a representative to serve on the Committee in a timely manner
 - b. Within 60 days following approval of the establishment of the Committee, the administrationmust:
 - i. provide the Committee with a complete inventory of all software and electronic equipment capable of monitoring campus communications and computer use
 - ii. provide the Committee in writing a comprehensive report of current and past uses of communications monitoring equipment and software
- c. Effective 60 days following approval by the Committee, the administration must obtain approval by the Committee of any continuing use of existing or newly acquired equipment or software to monitor communications or Internet sites accessed or to block any Internet sites visited by members of the College community.

247248 6. Sanctions

Any member of the College community who violates the Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy shall be liable to <u>reasonable sanction(s)</u> deemed appropriate by the President, administered in accordance with the requirements of due process as provided in the Bylaws of the City University of New York <u>and any applicable collective bargaining agreement</u>. The President shall seek a recommendation from the Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy before rendering a decision and shall thereafter inform the Committee of the action taken.

Jointly Proposed Policy of the Council of Chairs and Faculty Senate on ACADEMIC FREEDOM AND WORKPLACE PRIVACY

Unanimously Approved by Both Bodies for Transmittal to the College Council for its Action

4 5

1

2 3

[Original Proponents: Professor Harold Sullivan and Senator Francis Sheehan]

6 Freedom of inquiry is essential to an academic institution. Unimpeded pursuit of knowledge and
7 the ability to research and express unorthodox ideas is an essential part of academic freedom.
8 For these reasons and others cited below the traditional relationship between employee and
9 employer cannot exist in an academic institution. Faculty must be free to express ideas and
10 pursue research without threat of sanction.

11

12 While employers generally have the legal right to access employer-provided forms of communications, offices and computers, in an academic setting faculty offices, phone mail, 13 14 email, files and computers can contain confidential materials, the confidentiality of which are 15 protected by law, such as, but not limited to, raw data concerning human subjects of research, preliminary research findings not yet ready for outside review, as well as disciplinary hearing 16 records and student records. Confidentiality of faculty records, files and communication is an 17 essential prerequisite of academic freedom. There is both explicit and implicit foundation for 18 19 that confidentiality in law and practice. Institutional Research Board (IRB) requirements, for 20 example, are designed to protect the anonymity of human subjects in research. Student records 21 are protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), also known as the 22 Buckley Amendment.

23

Academic freedom has been accorded an extraordinarily high level of protection in First
Amendment case law. The purpose of the Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy of
John Jay College is to ensure the fullest possible protection for these values which are of
paramount importance in an institution of higher learning.

28

29 SECTION ONE: Academic Freedom30

31 It is the policy of John Jay College fully to respect and safeguard academic freedom in 32 accordance with the American Association of University Professors' (AAUP) 1940 Statement of 33 Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure. Since it is antithetical to academic freedom to 34 block access to Internet websites based on content, this policy prohibits such blocking even 35 though Internet access is not specifically addressed in the 1940 Statement which predates the 36 creation of the Internet.

37

While recognizing that all faculty have a responsibility to teach courses within the scope of the Bulletin course descriptions and consistent with stated course objectives, no member of the College community may be penalized by the College in any way for the political, artistic, religious, or social content of views lawfully expressed in the course of orderly, open debate or discussion on or off campus, or as part of his/her published or unpublished research. All decisions respecting the hiring, tenure, promotion, reappointment, and retention of College faculty, as well **as** employment decisions regarding other College personnel, shall be made in

UnanimouslyApproved by the Council of Chairs on March 17,2004, and UnanimouslyApproved by the Faculty Senate on March 18, 2004, for transmittal to the College Council for its action

keeping with the letter and spirit of this policy, while also recognizing that the quality of a
faculty member's teaching, service, and publications are legitimate considerations in
employment and promotion decisions.

48

54

56

49 Students axe free to take orderly and reasoned exception to the data or views offered in any 50 course of study and to reserve judgment about matters of opinion, without penalty, but are 51 responsible for learning the content of and demonstrating standards of academic performance 52 established for each course in which they are enrolled. 53

- 55 SECTION TWO: Workplace Privacy
- 57 1. Members of the College community shall have an expectation of privacy pertaining to the contents of physical spaces over which they typically exercise primary or exclusive control, including, but not limited to, desks, file cabinets, cubicles, and personal office space. This expectation shall also extend to portable personal spaces, including, but not limited to, their briefcases, satchels, and pocket books brought onto the campus. No officer of the College may search or cause to be searched any such space in a manner not consistent with this policy without the express consent of the individual(s) whose space is to be searched.
- 85
 2. No member of the College community shall intercept, read, copy, take or listen to, or cause the interception, reading, copying, taking or listening to, any material contained in or on papers, faxes, files, computers, portable or fixed electronic data (including diskettes, hard drives, PDAs, and other data storage devices) or audio or video recordings owned by or assigned for the use of individual members of the College community without the consent of the individual who owns or has been assigned such papers, files, media or equipment.
- The expectations of privacy affirmed by this policy shall extend not only to items related to
 interpersonal communication, but also to physical items within the lawful possession of
 College community members, including, but not limited to, books, personal notes, personal
 electronic devices, laptop computers, photographs, drawings, and prescription medications.
- 77 4. Unless approved by the Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy, the 78 privacy protections of this policy shall not be violated except by Court Order. Unless 79 specifically forbidden by law, any member of the College community who participates in 80 providing access pursuant to a **Cort** Order shall inform members of the College community 81 who are the targets of such Court Order as soon as practicable after such court ordered access 82 is provided. If access is provided pursuant to the Committee's approval, it shall be the 83 Committee Chair's responsibility to provide such notification as soon as practicable after the 84 Committee-authorized access has been provided.
- 85

Unanimously Approved by the Council & Chairs on March 27,2004, and Unanimously Approved by the Faculty Senate on March 18, 2004, for transmittal to the College Council for its action

- 5. The Committee may not authorize an exception to the privacy protections established by this
 policy in a specific case unless an absolute majority makes a specific finding that there are
 reasonable grounds to believe that possibly illegal conduct is taking place that could
 jeopardize the rights or safety of other members of the College community, the community at
 large or that there is conduct that could subject the College to legal liability if the College
 were to fail to act. The finding shall be reported in writing and kept in the file maintained by
 the Committee Chair.
- 93
- 94 6. Nothing in this policy shall interfere with the proctoring of exams and the College's and
 95 University's policies against using prohibited materials during exams.
 96
- 97 7. Nothing in this policy shall prevent searches of persons or personal property in areas where98 posted signs indicate such searches may be conducted.
- 99
- 8. Except in exigent circumstances, one or more members of the Committee, selected by the Committee membership, shall be given the opportunity to be present when there is a breach of the privacy protections of this policy to verify the intrusion is the minimum necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the breach.
- 9. Nothing in this policy is intended to interfere with the responsibility of the College to provide for the immediate safety of the College community. Members of the College community affected by the implementation of this provision and the Committee Membership shall be notified in as timely a manner as is practicable after the immediate threat to safety has subsided.
- 110
- 10. If there is no immediate threat to the safety of the College community, but the President or a
 Vice-president feels a breach of the privacy protections of this policy is necessary to protect
 public safety or to protect the College from legal liability and it is not practicable to convene
 the Committee, approval of the Committee membership may be obtained by phone, fax
 and/or email.
- 116
- 117 11. The person requesting a breach of the protections provided by this policy must, as soon as
 practicable, provide the Committee, in writing, with the alleged justification for the breach.
 Following the breach, a written report, prepared by the person who requested the breach or
 his/ her designated agent, shall be filed with the Committee detailing the extent of the breach
 and the findings. The Committee may also request others involved in the breach to prepare a
 report.
- 123
- 124 . 12. Nothing in this policy is intended to nullify or abridge provisions mandated by local, state or
 federal law, CUNY bylaws or policies, or by any applicable collective bargaining agreement.
 Should a member of the College community seek to violate provisions of this policy,
 asserting a contradictory superseding provision, the College member shall so notify the
 College community of the applicable superseding provision and the planned action, in
 advance, via College-wide email and phone mail announcements.
- 30

Unanimously Approved by the Council & Chairs on March 17, 2004, and Unanimously Approved by the Faculty Senate on March 18, 2004, for transmittal to the College Councilfor its action

131 13. Nothing in this policy shall relieve members of the College community of their obligation to 132 provide to legally responsible officers of the College access to student attendance and grade 133 reports, to provide to a Grade Appeals Committee all data requested reasonably to decide a 134 filed appeal, to provide to the Judicial Committee all materials needed for a hearing, and to 135 provide to any other legally established College or City University body materials needed 136 according to College or University policies.

137

138 14. Nothing in this policy shall interfere with the responsibility of DoIT and other designated 139 network administrators to scan for and protect against computer viruses or other threats to the 140 integrity of information systems. Except as provided for by Court Order or Committee 141 approval, network administrators may not intentionally seek out the contents or transactional 142 information of communications where not germane to the foregoing purposes, or disclose or 143 otherwise use what has been unintentionally observed.

- 145 15. Nothing in this policy shall interfere with the maintenance of the physical plant.
- 146 147

154

144

148 **SECTION THREE:** Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy

149 150 1 Membership

| | | F |
|-----|------------|---|
| 151 | a. Fou | r tenured faculty, who are not serving in appointed administrative positions, elected |
| 152 | as f | follows: |
| 153 | i <i>.</i> | Two tenured faculty elected by the Faculty Senate by secret ballot |

- i. Two tenured faculty elected by the Faculty Senate by secret ballot
- ii. Two tenured faculty elected by the Council of Chairs by secret ballot
- 155 b. One HEQ elected by the HEOs by secret ballot
- 156 c. One administrator selected by the President
- 157 158 **2.** Term of office

159 Members first elected after the establishment of the Committee shall take office immediately 160 upon election and serve through the next academic year. Thereafter, the term of office shall be one academic year. The unexpired term of a member who resigns midyear shall be filled 161 162 by the entity which elected or selected the resigned member.

163 164 3. Chair

A tenured full-time faculty member elected each academic year by the Committee from among the Committee membership.

- 167 168 4. Mandate
- 169 a. Recommend to the Council of Chairs, Faculty Senate, College Council, and entire 170 College community measures to safeguard the freedom of inquiry and debate essential for 171 academic freedom. 172
 - b. Monitor activities on campus which affect academic freedom and workplace privacy.
- 174 175

173

165

Amended Proposed Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy UnanimouslyApproved by the Council of Chairs on March 17,2004, and UnanimouslyApproved by the Faculty Senate on March 18, 2004, for transmittal to the College Councilfor its action

- c. Receive written complaints of alleged infringements of academic fieedom or workplace
 privacy and bring the nature of those complaints to the attention of the College
 community, Faculty Senate, Council of Chairs, and/or the College Council for discussion
 and resolution.
- d. Receive and act in a timely way on signed written requests to deviate from the privacy protections of this policy and implement procedures confidentially to act on those requests.
- 184
 185 e. Maintain a file of all documents pertaining to the Committee's work. It shall be the Committee Chair's responsibility to ensure the completeness of the file and to transfer it to the next elected Chair. Any member of the Committee may review the file upon request.
 189
- 190 **5.** Implementation

194 195

196

197 **.98**

199

200 201

202

203 204

205

206 207

208

209 210

211

- 191
 192 a. Effective immediately upon approval by the College Council of the establishment of the Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy:
 - i. the administration must obtain prior approval by the Committee of the purchase or installation of any software and electronic equipment capable of monitoring campus communications and computer use
 - ii. elections shall be scheduled by the Faculty Senate, the Council of Chairs, and the HEOs to elect the Committee's membership in a timely manner
 - iii. the President shall select a representative to serve on the Committee in a timely manner
 - b. Within 60 days following approval of the establishment of the Committee, the administration must:
 - i. provide the Committee with a complete inventory of all software and electronic equipment capable of monitoring campus communications and computer use
 - ii. provide the Committee in writing a comprehensive report of current and past uses **of** communications monitoring equipment and software
- c. Effective 60 days following approval by the Committee, the administration must obtain approval by the Committee of any continuing use of existing or newly acquired equipment or software to monitor communications or Internet sites accessed or to block any Internet sites visited by members of the College community.

Amended Proposed Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy Unanimously Approved by the Council & Chairs on March 17,2004, and Unanimously Approved by the Faculty Senate on March 18,2004, for transmittal to the College Council for its action

218 **6.** Sanctions

Any member of the College community who violates the Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy Policy shall be liable to reasonable sanction(s) by the President, administered in accordance with the requirements of due process as provided in the Bylaws of the City University of New York and any applicable collective bargaining agreement. The President shall seek a recommendation from the Committee on Academic Freedom and Workplace Privacy before rendering a decision and shall thereafter inform the Committee of the action taken.

N.B. Attachment B-1 is the amended document with the additions and deletions shown. Attachment B-2 is the amended document with the additions and deletions incorporated into the text.